

The Department of Vermont Health Access Clinical Criteria

Subject: Neuroprosthetics/Neuroorthotics (NP/NO)

Last Review: August 30, 2022*

Past Revisions: February 5, 2021, September 25, 2019, January 3, 2017, December 29, 2015, January 21, 2015, December 11, 2013, May 3, 2013, February 15, 2012

***Please note: Most current content changes will be highlighted in yellow.**

Description of Service or Procedure

Per Vermont Medicaid Rule 7508, “A prosthetic device is a replacement, corrective or supportive device to: 1) artificially replace a missing portion of the body; 2) prevent or correct deformity or malfunction; or 3) support a weak or deformed portion of the body. Prosthetics include orthotics.” Orthotics are generally considered to include items 2 and 3 only.

Neuroprosthetics/orthotics support or correct the body part, fully or partially, by the use of electrical stimulation. There may be a mechanical component as well. Some neuroprosthetics/orthotics provide electrical stimulation through surface electrodes; others may include implantable or injectable electrodes. This guideline is specifically for devices with **surface** electrodes.

Disclaimer

Coverage is limited to that outlined in Medicaid Rule or Health Care Administrative Rules that pertains to the member’s aid category. Prior Authorization (PA) is only valid if the member is eligible for the applicable item or service on the date of service.

Medicaid Rule

Medicaid and Health Care Administrative Rules can be found at <https://humanservices.vermont.gov/rules-policies/health-care-rules/health-care-administrative-rules-hcar/adopted-rules>

7102.2	Prior Authorization Determination
7508	Prosthetic Devices
4.101	Medical Necessity for Covered Services
4.104	Medicaid Non-Covered Services
4.209	Durable Medical Equipment



Coverage Position

NP/NO may be covered for members:

- When the device is prescribed by a licensed medical provider, enrolled in the Vermont Medicaid program, operating within their scope of practice as described on the Vermont's Office of Professional Regulation's website*, Statute, or rule who is knowledgeable regarding **neuroprosthetics and neuro orthotics**, and who provides medical care to the member AND
- When the clinical criteria below are met.

* Vermont's Office of Professional Regulation's website: <https://sos.vermont.gov/opr/>

Coverage Criteria

NP/NO may be covered for members who:

- Have a neurologically based medical condition that results in the long term medical need for a prosthetic/orthotic to prevent or correct malfunction, or support a weak or deformed portion of the body AND
- Requires the use of a prosthetic/orthotic to perform medically necessary activities of daily living AND
- Has undergone extensive trials of all other, less expensive, medically appropriate forms of prosthetic/orthotic as part of a physical or occupational therapy plan of care, and where the other prosthetics/orthotics have failed to meet the member's functional medical needs AND
- Will continue to be treated by the physical or occupational therapist after obtaining the neuroprosthetic/orthotic to enable the member to utilize the device properly and functionally.

Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnostic and Treatment (EPSDT): Vermont Medicaid will provide comprehensive services and furnish all Medicaid coverable, appropriate, and medically necessary services needed to correct and ameliorate health conditions for Medicaid members under age 21.

Clinical criteria for repeat service or procedure

- When the device no longer meets the medical needs of the member, OR
- When the device is no longer functional through normal wear and tear (expected to be at least 5 years), OR
- When the cost of repair is more than 50% of the cost of replacement.
- Electrodes and batteries may be replaced as needed to allow the proper function of the NP/NO device.

Type of service or procedure covered

NP/NO devices that produce electrical stimulation delivered by surface electrodes.

Type of service or procedure not covered (this list may not be all inclusive)

- NP/NO via implanted or injected electrodes, which are considered to be investigational at this time.
- NP/NO for members who are unable to use the NP/NO device to perform medically necessary activities of daily living such as walking functional distances.

- NP/NO for use primarily as an exercise system rather than to perform functional medically necessary activities of daily living.
- NP/NO for use primarily as a cosmetic device.
- NP/NO for members who are not able to maintain the device for safe, functional, long term use. Per Vermont Medicaid Rule 7508.3, the device “must be appropriate for the member’s age, gross and fine motor skills, developmental status, mental functioning, and physical condition.”
- Duplicate items are not covered.

Coding guidelines

Specific codes for electrodes and batteries exist and must be used.

References

Belic, J.J. & Faisal, A.A. (2015). Decoding of human hand actions to handle missing limbs in neuroprosthetics. *Frontiers in Computations in Neuroscience*, 9(27).

<https://doi.org/10.3389/fncom.2015.00027>

Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. (n.d.). Early and periodic screening, diagnostic, and treatment. <https://www.medicaid.gov/medicaid/benefits/epsdt/index.html>

Coscia, M., Wessel, M., Chaudary, U., Millán, J., Micera, S., Guggisberg, A., Vuadens, P., Donohue, J., Birbaumer, N., & Hummel, F.C. (2019). Neurotechnology-aided interventions for upper limb motor rehabilitation in severe chronic stroke. *Brain*, 142(8), 2182-2197. <https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awz181>

Courtine, G. & Bloch, J. (2015). Defining ecological strategies in neuroprosthetics. *Neuron*, 86.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015.02.039>

Gil-Castillo, J., Alnajjar, F., Koutsou, A., Torricelli D., & Moreno, J. (2020). Advances in neuroprosthetics management of foot drop: A review. *Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation* (2020) 17(46). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12984-020-00668-4>

Hiremath, S., Chen, W., Wang, W., Foldes, S., Yang, Y., Tyler-Kabara, E., Collinger, J.L., & Boninger, M.L. (2015). Brain computer interface learning for systems based on electrocorticography and intracortical microelectrode arrays. *Frontiers in Integrative Neuroscience*, 9(40).

<https://doi.org/10.3389/fnint.2015.00040>

Iturrate, I., Chavarriaga, R., Montesano, L., Minguez, J., & Millán, J. (2015). Teaching brain-machine interfaces as an alternative paradigm to neuroprosthetics control. *Scientific Reports*, 5.

<https://doi.org/10.1038/srep13893>

Koutsou, A.D., Moreno, J.C., del Ama, A.J., Rocon E., & Pons, J.L. (2016). Advances in selective activation of muscles for non-invasive motor prostheses. *Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation* 13(56). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12984-016-0165-2>

Mendes, L., Lima I., Souza, T., do Nascimento, C., Resqueti, V., & Fregonezi, G. (2020). Motor neuroprosthesis for promoting recovery of function after stroke. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 1(1). doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD012991.pub2

Milosevic, M. Marquez-Chin, C., Masani, K., Hirata, M., Nomura, T., Popovic, M., & Nakazawa, M. (2020). Why brain-controlled neuroprosthetics matter: Mechanisms underlying electrical stimulation of muscles and nerves in rehabilitation. *BioMedical Engineering Online*, 19(81).
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12938-020-00824-w>

Quandt, F. & Hummel, F.C. (2014). The influence of functional electrical stimulation on hand motor recovery in stroke patients: A review. *Experimental and Translational Stroke Medicine*, 6(9).
<https://doi.org/10.1186/2040-7378-6-9>

Ramsay, J.W., Wessel, M.A., Buchanan, T.S., & Higginson, J.S. (2014). Poststroke muscle architectural parameters of the tibialis anterior and the potential implications for rehabilitation of foot drop. *Stroke Research and Treatment*, Article 948475. doi: 10.1155/2014/948475

Street T., Swain I., & Taylor P. (2017) Training and orthotic effects related to functional electrical stimulation of the peroneal nerve in stroke. *Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine*, 2017 49(2), 113-119. doi: 10.2340/16501977-2181

Van der Linden, M.L., Hooper, J.E., Cowan, P., Weller, B.B., & Mercer, T.H. (2014). Habitual functional electrical stimulation therapy improves kinematics and walking performance, but not functional outcomes, of people with multiple sclerosis present with foot-drop. *PLOS One*, 9(8), Article e103368. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0103368

Yildiz, K., Shin, A., & Kaufman, K. (2020). Interfaces with the peripheral nervous system for the control of a neuroprosthetics limb: A review. *Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation* 17(43). (2020).
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12984-020-00667-5>

This document has been classified as public information.