

**Minutes: Payment Implementation Workgroup**  
April 12, 2011, 1:00 PM

Attendees:

Name	Organization
Lisa Dulsky Watkins	Blueprint
Jenney Samuelson	Blueprint
Beth Tanzman	Blueprint
Pat Jones	Blueprint
Randy Messier	Fletcher Allen Health Care
Melissa Jarvis	Northwestern Medical Center
Sarah Narkewicz	Rutland Regional Medical Center
Renee Kilroy	Northern Counties Health Care
Lou McLaren	MVP
Gerhild Bjornson	CIGNA
Kevin Ciechon	CIGNA
Michele Corey	CIGNA
Chrissie Racicot	HP
Jennifer Farley	HP
Jocelyn Ferdinand	HP
Terri Mitchell	HP

1. Roster Spreadsheet: The work group reviewed the spreadsheet. In response to an e-mailed question from BCBSVT, Lisa clarified that “Organization” refers to the name of the parent organization (e.g. – Fletcher Allen Health Care). “Affiliation Type” refers to the type of the parent organization (e.g. – hospital). Lou asked why this information is being collected; Lisa indicated that it is needed by the Blueprint. Kevin suggested that a field for billing name be added; Jenney will do so and will e-mail the updated roster to the work group and to the project managers.
2. Cold Hollow Roster: Melissa reported that she just needs to obtain the new spreadsheet and a few more data elements from the practice, and then she will submit the roster to the Blueprint staff for review and distribution to the insurers.
3. Updated MOU for CHT and PPPM Payments: The current MOU is specific to the pilot practices, and needs to be updated. Beth has initiated an update of the MOU; the plan was to distribute it to the Payment Implementation Workgroup for review and comment. Lou questioned whether it should go to this group; her opinion is that it should go to the insurer representatives that will ultimately make the decision. Gerhild agreed. Sarah

asked whether the MOU should be directly between the insurers and the practices and CHT administrative entities. Randy noted that BCBSVT is going directly to FAHC. That led to a discussion of whether there should be two different MOUs, since the CHT administrative entities are distinct from the practices. Lisa said that Blueprint staff would check with legal counsel and report back to the work group at the next meeting.

4. Agenda for Next Meeting: Gerhild suggested that the work group review how well the CHT and PPPM payments are going. Lou suggested that project managers bring payment-related issues from the practices for discussion. Other potential items included pro-rating of CHT payments, and follow-up on the MOU discussion. Lou subsequently e-mailed a suggestion for an additional agenda item: discussing the impact on attribution when “snowbirds” see providers in another state, and what happens to members when their provider leaves a practice. She suggested that insurers research the impact of these scenarios on attribution, in preparation for a discussion at the next meeting.

**Next Meeting: Face to Face Meeting**  
**Wednesday, April 27, 2011**  
**1:00 to 3:00 PM**  
**DVHA, 312 Hurricane Lane, Williston**  
**Large Conference Room**  
**Dial In: 1-888-394-8197**  
**PIN: 313409**